UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,216	09/12/2003	Dusan Pavcnik	8627-314	9125
	7590 10/19/200 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1039		LANG, AMY T		
CHICAGO, IL	00010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/662,216	PAVCNIK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	AIVIT I. LAING	3/31	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or	arroananding number of finally rei	acted alaims	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ⁻¹ 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amandmant (DTOL 224)
		impliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
		timely filed emendmen	at acanading the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owabie ii subifiilled iii a separale,	umery med amendmen	it canceling the
7. Torpurposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,8-18,20 and 22-44</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	I sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	Р 1 0/56/06) Paper No(s)		
/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/	/Amy T Lang/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731	Examiner, Art Unit 3731		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 10, 12, 13, 16, 22-24, 27, 34, 37, and 40 comprises new limitations that require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the filter of Yassour does not comprise a plurality of divergent legs and defines "divergent" as to go or move in different directions from a central point. However, the filter of Yassour comprises separate struts (that form a netting material). As shown in Figure 3B, these struts initally move in opposite directions from a central point. Additionally, "divergent" is also defined as differing from another. As shown in Figure 3B of Yassour, the struts differ from one another to form the produced netting. Applicant then argues that Yassour fails to show a second attachment member separate from but attached to the stent. As shown in Figure 6A, the narrowed portion (109) is separate from the stent since it comprsies a different structure than the remainder of the stent and is used for a separate function. Applicant arges that this would be analogous to calling the wider portion of Applicant's filter as separate from the narrow portion of the filter. However, as shown in Figure 6 of Applicant's disclosure, the narrow and wide portions of the filter create a smooth transition between the two. Conversely, the narrowed portion (109) of the Yassour stent is formed with an abrupt change in dimension. Additionally, "separate" is defined as to divide into indivual units. It is the Examienr's position that one can easily divide the Yassour filtering device into the stent and the narrowed portion since each comprise different units. Lastly, Applicant argues that the combination of Yassour and DeVries is improper since the device of Yassour is made of fine wire so there would be no reason to provie a lumen, with an attachment wire disposed within, through such fire wire. However, DeVries teaches an advantagoues attachment mechanism that would enhane the Yassour device. Such attachment mechanism provides a more secure attachment while still allowing it to become displaced form the rest of the device. Additionally, different wire materials and wire diameters are well known in the art.